```
ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
 1
    Overt United States Attorney
 2
    ROBERT E. DUGDALE
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Chief, Criminal Division
 3
    JOSEPH O. JOHNS (Cal. State Bar No.: 144524)
    DENNIS MITCHELL (Cal. State Bar No.: 116039)
    Assistant United States Attorneys
    Environmental Crimes Section
 5
         1300 United States Courthouse
         312 North Spring Street
 6
         Los Angeles, California 90012
         Telephone: (213) 894-4536/2484
 7
         Facsimile: (213) 534-4300
                    joseph.johns@usdoj.gov
         E-mail:
 8
                    dennis.mitchell@usdoj.gov
    IGNACIA MORENO
    Assistant Attorney General
    SHENNIE PATEL (DC Bar No.: 462600)
10
    Trial Attorney
    Environmental Crimes Section
11
    Environment & Natural Resources Division
    U.S. Department of Justice
12
         601 D Street, NW
         Washington, DC 20004
13
         Telephone: (202) 305-0295
         Facsimile: (202) 514-8865
14
         E-mail: shennie.patel@usdoj.gov
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
15
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
16
17
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18
19
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                ) CR No. 12-202(A)-CAS
20
                                 ) <u>PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT</u>
                    Plaintiff,
                                  JARROD WADE STEFFEN
21
                 v.
22
     JARROD WADE STEFFEN,
23
                 Defendant.
24
             This constitutes the plea agreement between JARROD WADE
25
    STEFFEN ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for
26
    the Central District of California ("the USAO") and the U.S.
27
    Department of Justice's Environment and Crimes Section ("ECS") in
28
```

the above-captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO (references to USAO throughout this document include ECS) and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

### DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- 2. Defendant agrees to:
- a) At the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to Counts One, Twenty-One, Twenty-Seven, and Thirty-Two of the First Superseding Indictment in <u>United States v. Vinh Chuong Kha, Jarrod Wade Steffen, et al.</u>, CR No. 12-202(A)-CAS, which charges defendant, respectively, with Conspiracy, Smuggling Goods from the United States, Wildlife Trafficking, and Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, 18 U.S.C. § 554, 16 U.S.C. §§ 3372(a)(1), 3373(d)(1)(A), and 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(i).
  - b) Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d) Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e) Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States

  Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines")

  § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
  - f) Be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the

United States Probation Office, and the Court.

g) Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay and prior to sentencing submits a completed financial statement on a form to be provided by the USAO.

### 3. Defendant further agrees:

- a) Truthfully to disclose to law enforcement officials, at a date and time to be set by the USAO, the location of, defendant's ownership interest in, and all other information known to defendant about, all monies, properties, and/or assets of any kind, derived from or acquired as a result of, or used to facilitate the commission of, defendant's illegal activities, and to forfeit all right, title, and interest in and to such items, specifically including all right, title, and interest in and to, all United States currency, property and assets, including the following:
- (1) One 2007 Dodge Crew Pickup Truck, Illinois
  License No. 2988785D, bearing Vehicle Identification No.
  3D6WG48AX7G77802 seized by law enforcement officials on March 5,
  2012;
- (2) One 2011 Dodge Ram 3500 Truck, Illinois License No. 7069VF, bearing Vehicle Identification No. 3D73Y3CL1BG582360;
- (3) One 1999 Barrett livestock trailer, Illinois License No. 441477ST, bearing Vehicle Identification No. 1B9L53207X1014311; and
- (4)\$337,000 in U.S. currency seized February 9, 2012, by the Long Beach Police Department at the Long Beach

Airport from defendant, Merrily Steffen, and Molly Blackburn;

- (5) all U.S. currency seized on February 18, 2012, by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") from a safe deposit box in the names of defendant and Merrily Steffen located at the First Midwest Bank in McHenry, Illinois (believed to be at least \$176,400);
- (6) one blue portable safe, including \$200 U.S. currency located therein, seized on March 5, 2012, by USFWS at 1341 East Evergreen Drive, Apartment 103, Palatine, Illinois;
- (7) \$200 in U.S. currency seized on February 18, 2012, by the USFWS at the residence and associated ranch property owned by Randall and Merrily "Stefen" in Hico, Texas (with a legal description of 752 J L Stanley TR #5B, 15 Acres, PID 23237);
- all of which defendant admits constitute the proceeds of defendant's illegal activity and/or were used to facilitate defendant's criminal activity in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 554, 1956(a)(1)(A), and 16 U.S.C. §§ 3372(a) and 3373(d).
- b) To the Court's entry of an order of forfeiture at or before sentencing with respect to these assets and to the forfeiture of the assets.
- c) To take whatever steps are necessary to pass to the United States clear title to the assets described above, including, without limitation, the execution of a consent decree of forfeiture and the completing of any other legal documents required for the transfer of title to the United States.
- d) Not to contest any administrative forfeiture proceedings or civil judicial proceedings commenced against these

properties pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981 & 982, 21 U.S.C. § 853, 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and 16 U.S.C. § 3374(a)(2). With respect to any criminal forfeiture ordered as a result of this plea agreement, defendant waives the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture in the charging instrument, announcements of the forfeiture sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture in the judgment. Defendant acknowledges that forfeiture of the assets is part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise defendant of this, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), at the time the Court accepts defendant's guilty pleas.

- e) Not to assist any other individual in any effort falsely to contest the forfeiture of the assets described above.
- f) Not to claim that reasonable cause to seize the assets was lacking.
- g) To prevent the transfer, sale, destruction, or loss of any and all assets described above to the extent defendant has the ability to do so.
- h) To fill out and deliver to the USAO a completed financial statement listing defendant's assets on a form provided by the USAO.
- i) That forfeiture of assets described above shall not be counted toward satisfaction of any special assessment, fine, restitution, costs, or other penalty the Court may impose.
- 4. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the Internal Revenue Service, and, as directed by the USAO, any other federal,

state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority. This cooperation requires defendant to:

- a) Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.
- b) Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- c) Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the USAO, or its designee, inquires.
- d) If requested to do so by the USAO, act in an undercover capacity to the best of defendant's ability in connection with criminal investigations by federal, state, local, or foreign law enforcement authorities, in accordance with the express instructions of those law enforcement authorities.

  Defendant agrees not to act in an undercover capacity, tape record any conversations, or gather any evidence except after a request by the USAO and in accordance with express instructions of federal, state, local, or foreign law enforcement authorities.
- 5. For purposes of this agreement: (1) "Cooperation Information" shall mean any statements made, or documents, records, tangible evidence, or other information provided, by defendant pursuant to defendant's cooperation under this agreement; and (2) "Plea Information" shall mean any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing and the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement.

### THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

6. The USAO agrees to:

- a) Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b) Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c) At the time of sentencing, move to dismiss the remaining counts of the First Superseding Indictment and underlying Indictment as against defendant. Defendant agrees, however, that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider any dismissed charges in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed.
- d) At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- e) Except for criminal tax violations (including conspiracy to commit such violations chargeable under 18 U.S.C. § 371), not further criminally prosecute defendant for violations of federal law arising out of defendant's conduct described in the agreed-to factual basis set forth in the Factual Basis in Support of the Plea Agreement, incorporated herein as Attachment A. Defendant understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct that occurs after the date of this agreement.

Defendant agrees that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged conduct in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

- f) Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range. For purposes of this agreement, the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range is that defined by the Sentencing Table in U.S.S.G. Chapter 5, Part A, without regard to reductions in the term of imprisonment that may be permissible through the substitution of community confinement or home detention as a result of the offense level falling within Zone B or Zone C of the Sentencing Table.
  - 7. The USAO further agrees:

a) Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the above-captioned case or any other criminal prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any criminal case that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, any Cooperation Information. Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use both Cooperation Information and Plea Information: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any criminal prosecution of defendant; (2) to cross-examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence offered, or argument or representation made, by defendant, defendant's counsel, or a witness called by

defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court proceeding; and (3) in any criminal prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.

- defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward departure, or the sentence to be imposed, and to recommend to the Court that Cooperation Information not be used in determining the applicable guideline range or the sentence to be imposed. Defendant understands, however, that Cooperation Information will be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use Cooperation Information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G § 1B1.8(b) and for determining the sentence to be imposed.
- c) In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- d) If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with defendant's obligations under paragraphs 2 and 3 above and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to fix an offense level and corresponding guideline range below that otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines.

# DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING COOPERATION

- 8. Defendant understands the following:
  - a) Any knowingly false or misleading statement by

defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.

- b) Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any other prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory authority to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- c) Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's guilty pleas if the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a reduced guideline range or if the USAO makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it or if the Court grants such a USAO motion but elects to sentence above the reduced range.
- d) At this time the USAO makes no agreement or representation as to whether any cooperation that defendant has provided or intends to provide constitutes or will constitute substantial assistance. The decision whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will rest solely within the exclusive judgment of the USAO.
- e) The USAO's determination whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies or in which the government otherwise presents information resulting from defendant's cooperation.

### NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

9. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count One, that is, Conspiracy, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, the following must be true: (1) beginning on or about a date unknown, and ending on

or about 2/18/12, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit at least one crime as charged in the First Superseding Indictment; (2) the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and (3) one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count Twenty-One, that is, Smuggling Goods from the United States and Aiding and Abetting, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 554 and 2(a), the following must be true: (1) defendant fraudulently or knowingly received, concealed, bought, sold, or facilitated the transportation, concealment, or sale of merchandise; (2) prior to export of the merchandise; and (3) knew the merchandise was intended for export contrary to United States law or regulation, or that (1) the crime of Smuggling Goods from the United States was committed by someone; (2) the defendant knowingly and intentionally aided, counseled, commanded, induced or procured that person to commit each element of Smuggling Goods from the United States; and (3) the defendant acted before the crime was completed. It is not enough that the defendant merely associated with the person committing the crime, or unknowingly or unintentionally did things that were helpful to that person, or was present at the scene of the crime. The evidence must show beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted with the knowledge and intention of helping that person commit the crime of Smuggling Goods from The government is not required to prove the United States.

precisely which defendant actually committed the crime and which defendant aided and abetted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count Twenty-Seven, that is, Wildlife Trafficking, in violation of Title 16, United States Code, Sections 3372(a)(1) and 3373(d)(1)(A), the following must be true: (1) the defendant knowingly exported wildlife; and (2) the defendant knew that the exported wildlife had been transported or sold in violation of or in a manner unlawful under United States law or regulations, or that (1) the crime of Wildlife Trafficking was committed by someone; (2) the defendant knowingly and intentionally aided, counseled, commanded, induced or procured that person to commit each element of Wildlife Trafficking; and (3) the defendant acted before the crime was completed. not enough that the defendant merely associated with the person committing the crime, or unknowingly or unintentionally did things that were helpful to that person, or was present at the scene of the crime. The evidence must show beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant acted with the knowledge and intention of helping that person commit the crime of Smuggling Goods from the United States. The government is not required to prove precisely which defendant actually committed the crime and which defendant aided and abetted.

Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in Count Thirty-Two, that is, Money Laundering, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(A)(i), the following must be true: (1) defendant conducted or intended to conduct a financial transaction

involving property that represented the proceeds of export of wildlife contrary to law; (2) the defendant knew that the property represented the proceeds of export of wildlife contrary to law; (3) the defendant acted with the intent to promote the carrying on of export of wildlife contrary to law; and (4) the defendant did something that was a substantial step toward committing the crime.

### PENALTIES

10. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, is: five years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 554, is: ten years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 16, United States Code, Sections 3372(a)(1) and 3373(d)(1)(A), is: five years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special

assessment of \$100.

Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(A)(i), is: 20 years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 11. Defendant understands, therefore, that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: 40 years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$1,250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offenses, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$400.
- 12. The Court will also order forfeiture of the property listed above in paragraph 3, or substitute assets up to the value of that property.
- period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
  - 14. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty,

defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that once the court accepts defendant's guilty pleas, it will be a federal felony for defendant to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

United States citizen, the felony convictions in this case may subject defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.

### FACTUAL BASIS

16. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty.

Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided in Attachment A to this plea agreement and agree that this

statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 18 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

### SENTENCING FACTORS

17. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other Section 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.

| /./

21 //

OFFENSE LEVEL 1 Defendant and the USAO stipulate and agree to the 2 following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors: 3 [U.S.S.G. § 2Q2.1(a)]Base Offense Level 6 4 Specific Offense 5 Characteristics 6 Offense committed 7 for commercial gain or pattern of similar [U.S.S.G. § 2Q2.1(b)(1)]violations +2 8 Market value of 9 wildlife [U.S.S.G. § 2Q2.1(b)(3)(A)(ii) +16 with cross reference to 10 § 2B1.1 with market value between \$1,000,000 and 11 \$2,500,000] 12 Adjustments 13 Acceptance of [U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b)] 14 Responsibility -3 15 Total Offense Level: 21 16 The USAO will agree to a two-level downward adjustment for 17 acceptance of responsibility (and, if applicable, move for an 18 additional one-level downward adjustment under U.S.S.G. 19 § 3E1.1(b)) only if the conditions set forth in paragraph 6(d) 20 Defendant understands that the government will 21 recommend a four level upward adjustment to the offense level 22 calculation for an Aggravated Role in the Offense pursuant to 23 This would result in a Total Offense Level U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1(a). 24 The defendant reserves the corresponding right to argue of 25. 25 for no upward adjustment for his role in the offense under 26 U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1(a). Subject to paragraph 30 below, defendant

and the USAO agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way,

27

either orally or in writing, that <u>any other</u> specific offense characteristics, adjustments, or departures, other than a downward departure pursuant to § 5K1.1, relating to the offense level be imposed. Defendant agrees, however, that if, after signing this agreement but prior to sentencing, defendant were to commit an act, or the USAO were to discover a previously undiscovered act committed by defendant prior to signing this agreement, which act, in the judgment of the USAO, constituted obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, the USAO would be free to seek the enhancement set forth in that section.

- 19. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 20. Defendant reserves the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

# WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 21. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
  - a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
  - b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c) The right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the court appoint counsel at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel and if necessary have the court appoint counsel at every other stage of the proceeding.
  - d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the

burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

- e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f) The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g) The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h) Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

# WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

22. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty.

# LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

23. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction of no more than 71 months, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided

it is within the statutory maximum; and (e) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the standard conditions set forth in General Orders 318, 01-05, and/or 05-02 of this Court; and the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

24. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above and (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment of no less than 57 months, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

### RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

Defendant agrees that if, after entering guilty pleas 25. pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement, including in particular its obligations regarding the use of Cooperation Information; (b) in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action, defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, or any federal rule, that any Cooperation Information or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible; and (c) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a

result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

# EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

26. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

### BREACH OF AGREEMENT

the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. For example, if defendant knowingly, in an interview, before a grand jury, or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely minimizes defendant's own role, or the role of another, in criminal conduct, defendant will have breached this agreement. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a

breach to have occurred, then:

- (a) If defendant has previously entered guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty pleas.
- (b) The USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement; in particular, the USAO: (i) will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crimes to which defendant has pleaded guilty; (ii) will no longer be bound by any agreements regarding criminal prosecution, and will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for any crime, including charges that the USAO would otherwise have been obligated to dismiss or not to criminally prosecute pursuant to this agreement; and (iii) will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of Cooperation Information and will be free to use any Cooperation Information in any way in any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action.
- c) The USAO will be free to criminally prosecute defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- d) In any investigation, criminal prosecution, or civil, administrative, or regulatory action: (i) defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim that any Cooperation Information was obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled self-incrimination; and (ii) defendant agrees that any Cooperation Information and any

Plea Information, as well as any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information, shall be admissible against defendant, and defendant will not assert, and hereby waives and gives up, any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that any Cooperation Information, any Plea Information, or any evidence derived from any Cooperation Information or any Plea Information should be suppressed or is inadmissible.

- 28. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a) Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b) Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

### COURT AND PROBATION OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 29. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States Probation Office are not parties to this agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing factors.
  - 30. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO

are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 18 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

31. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

### NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

32. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein,

there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court. PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING The parties agree that this agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney JOHNS United States Attorney

JARROD WADE STEEPEN

Defendant

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

25

26

27

28

GOODE 23

Attorney for Defendant Jarrod Wade Steffen 24

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

JARROD WADE STEFFEN Defendant

22

2324

25

26

27

28

5-31-12 Date

### CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am Jarrod Wade Steffen's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement.

May 31, 20/2

17 | 18 |

MICHAEL C. GOODE

Attorney for Defendant Jarrod Wade Steffen

#### ATTACHMENT A

# Factual Basis in Support of Plea Agreement

Beginning in or about February 2010, and continuing to on or about February 18, 2012, Jarrod Wade Steffen ("defendant") conspired and agreed with Jimmy Kha and Felix Kha that he would purchase White and Black rhinoceros horn in interstate and intrastate commerce on behalf of Jimmy Kha and Felix Kha.

Defendant knew that both Black and White rhinoceros were protected by federal law as endangered and threatened species.

Defendant knew that it was illegal to purchase, transport, receive, or sell Black rhinoceros horn in interstate commerce.

Defendant knew that Jimmy and Felix Kha did not care what type of rhinoceros horn they were purchasing nor the illegal nature of the transactions, they just did not want to get caught by law enforcement.

Defendant knew that Jimmy and Felix Kha were purchasing Black and White rhinoceros horn for the purpose of exporting or shipping the horn overseas to be commercially sold for the purpose of making traditional libation cups or traditional herbal medicines. Defendant knew that it was illegal to export any rhinoceros horn from the United States without permission from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS"). Defendant also knew that Jimmy Kha's girlfriend, Mai Nguyen, was involved in the illegal purchases, shipments, and commercial export and sales of the Black and White rhinoceros horn.

During the running of the conspiracy, defendant would receive contact information from Felix Kha regarding individuals located throughout the United States that were willing to sell

White or Black rhinoceros horn. On occasion, defendant would identify such individuals through his own research efforts.

Between on or about February 2010 through April 2011, defendant purchased rhinoceros horn in interstate and intrastate commerce and shipped such horn to Jimmy and Felix Kha's Win Lee Corporation business located at 9641 Bolsa Avenue, Westminster, California. Between on or about May 2011 through February 2012, defendant purchased rhinoceros horn in interstate and intrastate commerce and shipped such horn to Mai Nguyen's business located at 7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, California.

During the conspiracy, defendant purchased dozens of pairs of Black and White rhinoceros horns and shipped them to Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, and Mai Nguyen. On or about the dates listed below, defendant shipped, or caused to be shipped, in interstate commerce for a commercial purpose, Black and White rhinoceros horn to Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, and Mai Nguyen at the addresses listed:

Date	Receiving Address
06/07/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
06/07/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
06/07/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
06/24/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
06/28/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
07/06/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
10/08/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
11/09/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
11/17/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA

# Case 2:12-cr-00202-CAS Document 56 Filed 05/31/12 Page 30 of 32 Page ID #:523

. 1	12/30/10	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
2	01/07/11	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
3	03/04/11	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
4	03/23/11	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
5	04/01/11	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
6	04/11/11	9641 Bolsa Avenue, Wesminster, CA
- 1	05/10/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
7	05/20/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
8	06/07/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
9	06/14/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
10	07/27/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
11	08/30/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
12	09/02/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
13	09/13/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
	09/22/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
14	10/03/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
15	10/13/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
16	10/27/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
17	10/31/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
18	11/08/11	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
19	01/23/12	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
20	01/25/12	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
	02/02/12	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
21	02/02/12	7223 Church Street, Unit A-2, Highland, CA
22		

On or about the following dates, defendant traveled to Long Beach, California, from the locations listed below to receive compensation from Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, and Mai Nguyen, for previous rhinoceros horn purchases and shipments, and to receive money to fund future purchases and shipments of rhinoceros horn:

Travel Date	Traveled From
08/08/09	Omaha, NE
05/11/10	Chicago, IL
11/03/10	Milwaukee, WI
12/03/10	Chicago, IL
03/28/11	Dallas, TX
06/04/11	Austin, TX
07/19/11	Chicago, IL
08/09/11	Omaha, NE
10/05/11	Chicago, IL
02/08/12	Chicago, IL

Defendant knew that the money that he received from Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, and Mai Nguyen, to fund future illegal purchases of rhinoceros horn constituted proceeds and profits from the illegal export of rhinoceros horn by Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, and Mai Nguyen. Defendant knew that Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, and Mai Nguyen were funding illegal purchases of rhinoceros horn from proceeds derived from illegal sales of rhinoceros horn. Specifically, on or about the February 8, 2012 date listed above, defendant, traveled from Chicago, Illinois to Long Beach, California, to knowingly and willfully receive from Felix Kha and Mai Nguyen approximately \$337,000 in U.S. currency as compensation for prior rhinoceros horn purchases and shipments and to be used to fund

future rhinoceros horn purchases.

On or about October 27, 2011, defendant knowingly and willfully aided and abetted Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, Mai Nguyen, and Win Lee Corporation to knowingly export wildlife, namely Black rhinoceros horn, that had been, prior to the export, (1) carried, transported, and shipped in interstate commerce in the course of commercial activity, and (2) sold in interstate commerce.

On or about January 23, 2012, defendant received, bought, sold, and facilitated the transportation of merchandise, namely Black rhinoceros horn, prior to exportation, knowing that such rhinoceros horn was intended for exportation contrary to any law or regulation of the United States.

During the conspiracy, defendant knew that Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, and Mai Nguyen were paying, on average, between \$5,000 to \$7,000 per pound of rhinoceros horn. The Black and White rhinoceros horn acquired by defendant during the conspiracy and shipped to Jimmy Kha, Felix Kha, and Mai Nguyen for export and sale overseas has a fair market value between, at a minimum, \$1,000,000 to \$2,500,000.